

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS :

1. A method for the fourth-order, blind identification of at least two sources in a system comprising a number of sources P and a number N of reception 5 sensors receiving the observations, said sources having different tri-spectra, wherein the method comprises at least the following steps:

a) a step for the fourth-order whitening of the observations received on the reception sensors in order to orthonormalize the direction vectors of the sources in the matrices of quadricovariance of the observations used,

10 b) a step for the joint diagonalizing of several whitened matrices of quadricovariance (step a) in order to identify the spatial signatures of the sources.

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the observations used 15 correspond to the time-domain averaged matrices of quadricovariance defined by:

$$Q_x(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) = \sum_{p=1}^P c_p(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) (\mathbf{a}_p \otimes \mathbf{a}_p^*) (\mathbf{a}_p \otimes \mathbf{a}_p^*)^H \quad (4a)$$

$$= A_Q C_s(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) A_Q^H \quad (4b)$$

where A_Q is a matrix with a dimension $(N^2 \times P)$ defined by $A_Q = [(\mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_1^*), \dots, 20 (\mathbf{a}_P \otimes \mathbf{a}_P^*)]$, $C_s(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ is a diagonal matrix with a dimension $(P \times P)$ defined by $C_s(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) = \text{diag}[c_1(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3), \dots, c_P(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)]$ and $c_p(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ is defined by:

$$c_p(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) = \langle \text{Cum}(s_p(t), s_p(t-\tau_1)^*, s_p(t-\tau_2)^*, s_p(t-\tau_3)) \rangle \quad (5)$$

3. A method according to claim 2, comprises at least the following steps:

25 **Step 1:** the estimation, through \hat{Q}_x , of the matrix Q_x , from the L observations $\mathbf{x}(lT_e)$ using a non-skewed and asymptotically consistent estimator.

Step 2: the eigen-element decomposition of \hat{Q}_x , the estimation of the number of sources P and the limiting of the eigen-element decomposition to

the P main components: $Q; \hat{x} \approx E; \hat{x} \Lambda; \hat{x} E; \hat{x}^H$, where $\Lambda; \hat{x}$ is the diagonal matrix containing the P eigenvalues with the highest modulus and $E; \hat{x}$ is the matrix containing the associated eigenvectors.

Step 3: the building of the whitening matrix: $T; \hat{x} = (\Lambda; \hat{x})^{-1/2} E; \hat{x}^H$.

5 **Step 4:** the selection of K triplets of delays $(\tau_1^k, \tau_2^k, \tau_3^k)$ where $|\tau_1^k| + |\tau_2^k| + |\tau_3^k| \neq 0$.

Step 5: the estimation, through $Q; \hat{x}(\tau_1^k, \tau_2^k, \tau_3^k)$, of the K matrices $Q_x(\tau_1^k, \tau_2^k, \tau_3^k)$.

10 **Step 6:** the computation of the matrices $T; \hat{x} Q; \hat{x}(\tau_1^k, \tau_2^k, \tau_3^k) T; \hat{x}^H$ and the estimation, by $U; \hat{x}_{sol}$, of the unitary matrix U_{sol} by the joint diagonalizing of the K matrices $T; \hat{x} Q; \hat{x}(\tau_1^k, \tau_2^k, \tau_3^k) T; \hat{x}^H$

Step 7: the computation of $T; \hat{x}^H U; \hat{x}_{sol} = [\mathbf{b}; \hat{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{b}; \hat{x}_P]$ and the building of the matrices $B; \hat{x}_l$ sized $(N \times N)$.

15 **Step 8:** the estimation, through $\mathbf{a}; \hat{x}_P$, of the signatures a_q ($1 \leq q \leq P$) of the P sources in applying a decomposition into elements on each matrix $B; \hat{x}_l$

4. A method according to claim 1 to 3, comprising at least one step for the evaluation of the quality of the identification of the associated direction vector in using a criterion such as:

20
$$D(A, \hat{A}) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_P) \quad (16)$$

where

$$\alpha_p = \min_{1 \leq i \leq P} [d(\mathbf{a}_p, \hat{\mathbf{a}}_i)] \quad (17)$$

and where $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ is the pseudo-distance between the vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , such that:

25
$$d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 1 - \frac{|\mathbf{u}^H \mathbf{v}|^2}{(\mathbf{u}^H \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{v})} \quad (18)$$

5. A method according to claim 1, comprising at least one step of fourth-order cyclical after the step a) of fourth-order whitening.
6. A method according to claim 5, wherein the identification step is performed in using fourth-order statistics.

5

7. A method according to claim 1 wherein the number of sources P is greater than or equal to the number of sensors.

10

8. A method according to claim 1, comprising at least one step of goniometry using the identified signature of the sources.

15

9. A method according to claim 1, comprising at least one step of spatial filtering after the identified signature of the sources.
10. A use of the method according to claim 1 in a communications network.